HIGH LIFE HIGHLAND REPORT TO BOARD OF DIRECTORS 27 August 2019

AGENDA ITEM REPORT No HLH /19

RISK REGISTER UPDATE - Report by Chief Executive

Summary

This report provides the annual update on High Life Highland's Risk Register.

It is recommended that Directors review and approve the Risk Register at **Appendix A**.

1. Business Plan Contribution

- 1.1 This report supports all the Business Outcomes from the High Life Highland (HLH) Business Plan:
 - 1. Sustain a high standard of health and safety, and environmental performance
 - 2. Implement the Service Delivery Contract with THC
 - 3. Improving customer engagement and satisfaction
 - 4. Improving staff engagement and satisfaction
 - 5. Enhance the positive charity image
 - 6. Be a trusted and effective partner
 - 7. Achieve sustainable growth across the organisation
 - 8. Develop health and wellbeing across Highland communities
 - 9. Develop and promote the High Life brand

2. Background

- 2.1 The Company Financial Standing orders require that the Risk Register is reviewed and approved annually by the HLH Board. The last such review was on 4 September 2018.
- 2.2 In addition to the annual review by the charity Board, the Finance and Audit Committee reviews the Risk Register on a quarterly basis, most recently at its August 2019 meeting.
- 2.3 The Risk Register is also regularly reviewed by the Senior Management Team (SMT) and by the Quarterly Management Team (QMT) which includes area based staff. Further, members of that team have been instructed to discuss risk at team meetings and to ensure that the Risk Register reflects these discussions either by contacting the Head of Performance or by raising matters at the regular risk reviews.

3. The Risk Register

3.1 The Risk Register is included at **Appendix A** and the risk profile at **Appendix B** and the Board is asked to identify any risks that are not included in the

register and score these and approve the register as part of the annual review.

4. Risk Management Plans

4.1 Risks scored as being "above the line" require risk management plans. Two risks have been identified that fall into this category - HLH05 and HLH19 and the management plans for these are included in **Appendix C.**

5. Risk Implications

- 5.1 Resource Implications there are no new resource implications arising from the content of this report
- 5.2 Legal Implications there are no new legal implications arising from the content of this report.
- 5.3 Risk Implications there are no new risks resulting from the recommendations of this report.

Recommendation

It is recommended that Directors review and approve the Risk Register at **Appendix A**.

Designation: Chief Executive

Date: 12 August 2019

Appendix A

	Diek Description /		Trigger	Consequences			Risk Matrix	Progress /	Owner	Lead officer	Date added
Risk No	Risk Description / Short Name	Vulnerability			Likelihood	Impact	(DO NOT POPULATE)	Ctatura			
HLH03	Estate condition/building failure		Long term poor PR- damage to reputation		C - Significant	III - Marginal	A B C X P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P		Board/SMT	DW	Dec-11
		Failure of a major system. Move to new IT infrastructure could lead to failure/ interruption caused					A B C D X X III II I I				
HLH04	System failures, such as IT Over reaching/over commitment	Failure of significant projects and pressure on central services	Long term poor PR - damage to reputation	Reputational damage Staff Stress, missed deadlines	E - Very Low C - Significant	II - Critical	A B C X OO D E IV III II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		SMT Board/SMT	JWM	Dec-11
HLH06	Changes to the political landscape	Lack of understanding/ agreement on respective roles	Missed opportunities to work in partnership	Missed opportunity for service development	C - Significant	III - Marginal	A B C X P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P		Board	IM	Dec-11
HLH07	Poor or ineffective working relationship between the Council and the HLH Board	Lack of partnership approach	Missed opportunities to work in partnership	Missed opportunity for service development	C - Significant	II - Critical	A B C X P C C D C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		Board	IM	Dec-11
HLH08	Poor working relationship between HLH SMT and Council Service staff	Lack of partnership approach	Missed opportunities to work in partnership	Missed opportunity for service development	C - Significant	II - Critical	A B C X POO D E IV III II I I Impact		SMT	IM	Dec-11
HLH09	Poor or ineffective working relationship between the HLH Board and SMT	Lack of understanding/ agreement on respective roles	Inappropriate allocation of responsibilities	Ineffective strategic management of HLH	E - Very Low	II - Critical	A B C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		Board/SMT	IM	Dec-11

	Risk Description / Short Name	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequences	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Matrix (DO NOT POPULATE)	Progress / Status	Owner	Lead officer	Date added
							,	R/A/G			
HLH10	Ineffective governance of HLH by the Board	Failure to establish effective code of corporate governance	Failure to control expenditure and to achieve income targets	Financial insolvency and delivery of CLL services reverts to the Council	F - Almost Impossible	II - Critical	A B C D O O D I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		Board	IM/SF	Dec-11
		· ·	Ţ.				A				
HLH14	Future changes to the legislation that removes the benefit of the NNDR saving attributable to the Council	No control over political direction that could influence decision	Change to legislation	Cuts to front line CLL services	D - Low	II - Critical	B C IV III II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		SMT	IM	Dec-11
TILITI4	attributable to the Council	illidelice decision	Change to legislation	Services	D - LOW	ii - Chiicai	A		Sivi i	livi	Dec-11
HLH15	Major health and safety breach	Failure of health and safety systems	Major health and safety incident dealt with inappropriately	Reputational damage	E - Very Low	II - Critical	B C C D D W III II I I Impact		SMT	DW	Dec-11
HLH17	Poor project planning and management (see project register)	Failure of significant projects	Missed opportunities to work in partnership	Minor financial impact	D - Low	III - Marginal	A B C C V O O D X IV III II Impact		SMT	DW	Dec-11
	Breakdown in			Delivery of CLL services			A B C D X X IV III II I				
HLH18	management/staff relations Non achievement of income and expenditure targets and failure to control expenditure to achieve revised targets leading to inability to deliver service contract. Aggressive targets for current financial	Industrial action Failure to control expenditure and to	Inability to deliver contract		E - Very Low	II - Critical	A B C X X III II I		SMT	IM	Dec-11
HLH19	year.	achieve income targets	Inability to deliver contract	Service Delivery Contract	C - Significant	II - Critical	Impact		Board	IM	Dec-11
LII LIGO	Pension deficit continues to	Pension scheme fails to recover its financial		Financial viability of company	- Veryley	I. Cotoctavali	A B C D X X F III II I I		CMT		Doc 44
HLH20	grow	position	Regular pension review	affected	E - Very Low	I - Catastrophic	Impact	l	SMT	IM	Dec-11

Risk No	Risk Description / Short Name	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequences	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Matrix (DO NOT POPULATE)	Progress / Status R / A / G	Owner	Lead officer	Date added
HLH22	Insufficient succession planning	Inability to appoint any gaps in the management team	Critical vacancy unfilled	Temporary loss of efficiency	D - Low	II - Critical	A B C V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V		SMT	IM	Dec-11
HLH25	Failure to implement the Data Protection Policy results in action by the Data Protection Commissioner	Lack of control of data management	Changes resulting from introduction of General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) from May 2018.	Prosecution, fine, damage to	D - Low	II - Critical	A B C C X III II I I I I I I I I I I I I I		SMT	JWM	Dec-12
HLH28	THC savings consultation process leaves HLH vulnerable to criticism	Reputation of HLH is affected	Press and public criticism of HLH with reputational damage		D - Low	II - Critical	A B C OO D X E IV III II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		Board/SMT	IM	Apr-13
HLH29	THC ICT Transformation Project (2019-2020)	Reputational damage as HLH unable to deliver service ambitions	THC unable/unwilling to deliver HLH ICT requirements	HLH limited to THC ICT systems, contracts and	D - Low	III - Marginal	A B C C X E IV III II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		SMT	JWM	Mar-14
HLH30	Specific Council decisions impact increasingly on HLH	Restricts flexibility in HLH decisions	Not being consulted on decisions e.g. service points/capital	Missed opportunity for prioritisation	C - Significant	III - Marginal	A B C X III II I I Impact		SMT	IM	Mar-14
HLH31	Significant changes in Council senior management	Profile of HLH not understood	New appointments by THC have no awareness of HLH	Impact on strategy and day to day operation and savings proposals leading to relationship breakdown	C - Significant	II - Critical	A B C D O D I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		SMT	IM	Mar-14
HLH34	Misuse of social media	Reputational damage to HLH	Public criticism	Reputational damage	E - Very Low	II - Critical	A B C C D O O D I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		SMT	JWM	Oct-14

notifications are received that impact on the Property Agreement Reputational damage and loss of service Public criticism Reputational damage E - Very Low III - Marginal Reputational damage E - Very Low BIII - Marginal B	X			
	III II I Impact	SMT	DW	Mar-15
Change to formula for Service HLH39 Delivery Fee from THC Unknown pressures materialising with THC required C - Significant II - Critical	X III II I Impact	Board	IM	Jan-16
	X III II I Impact	SMT	IM	Jul-16
Income lost through closures for renovations of Lost income through capital property not reimbursed Previous practice of	X III II I Impact	DW	FH	Jul-16
Negative publicity associated with services delivery where HLH is incorrectly viewed as being at fault due to the Poperty/programmes HLH receives negative publicity for decisions of Closure/reduction of THC service which has an impact perception that HLH is at IV	X III II I I Impact	IM	JWM	Jul-16
e.g. spoof emails pertaining to be from Chief Executive *	X III II I			

Risk No	Risk Description / Short Name	Vulnerability	Trigger	Consequences	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Matrix (DO NOT POPULATE)	Progress / Status R / A / G	Owner	Lead officer	Date added
HLH47	Rise in the UK terrorism threat level LOW means an attack is unlikely MODERATE means an attack is possible, but not likely SUBSTANTIAL means an attack is a strong possibility SEVERE means an attack is highly likely CRITICAL means an attack is expected imminently	HLH sites closed down or suspended - staff diverted to assist response to an incident	National threat level raised	Potential "lock ins" of members of the public until safe to release. Use of HLH facilities as reception	D - Low	III. Marginal	A B C V X E IV III II I I Impact		SMT	IM	Apr. 17
HLH47	Reliance on individual suppliers/contracts	Potential exposure to difficulty in over reliance	Supplier withdraws service/cannot provide	Short term withdrawal or	D - Low	III - Marginal	A B C V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V		SMT	IM IM	Apr-17 Sep-17
HLH51	Impact of HLH Capital Programme	Impact of ability to grow and/or building deterioration	Reduced capital investment	Inability to grow and meet income targets	D - Low	II - Critical	A B C D O O H I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		SMT	IM	Feb-18
HLH52	Failure of THC to enforce EVOLVE	Failure of offsite excursion systems	Offsite excursion managed inappropriately	Reputational damage	D - Low	II - Critical	A B C X P D X III II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		SMT	FH	Apr-18
HLH54		Savings being imposed which result in	Notification of the requirement for savings which do not comply with the agreed funding formula with the Council.		C - Significant	II - Critical	A B C X Po D IV III II I Impact A B C		SMT	DW	Jan-19

						Impact	(DO NOT POPULATE)	R/A/G			
HLH55	Charity at risk of fraud	Failure of internal controls	Controls not applied consistently	Financial and reputational damage.	D - Low	III - Marginal	F IV III II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		SMT	IM	Jan-19
	Change in senior nanagement leads to change in High Life membership		Alteration to pricing model and make up of membership	Reduction in income that undermines the financial			A B C X X F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F				

Risk Profile (updated 250719)

Appendix B

	Impact			
	IV	III	II	I
F			HLH10	
E		HLH36	HLH04 HLH09 HLH15 HLH18 HLH34	HLH20
D		HLH17 HLH29 HLH47 HLH50 HLH55	HLH14 HLH22 HLH25 HLH28 HLH42 HLH51 HLH52 HLH56	
С		HLH03 HLH06 HLH30 HLH43 HLH44 HLH46	HLH05 HLH07 HLH08 HLH19 HLH31 HLH39 HLH54	
В				
A				

C - Significant
D - Low

E - Very Low

F - Almost Impossible

I - Catastrophic

II - Critical III - Marginal

IV - Negligible

A - Very High B - High

Appendix C

Risk No:	Risk Score:	Owned By: (e.g. SMT; HoS; Board etc.
HLH05	C2	SMT

Description (of project and list of risks)

Over-reaching/ over-commitment leading to failure of significant projects and damage to reputation; increase in stress amongst staff and missed deadlines. The External Auditors have raised the issue of additional management of facilities being transferred from THC without additional central management and administration resources and that this may become an issue in future.

Controls Already in Place

The Board set the annual Work Plan and work is regularly reviewed by the senior management team. A project register is maintained and absences where stress is a factor are recorded and managed.

The Board have authorised and instructed that, where applicable and possible, a figure of 5% for central management and administration costs be added to any future cost negotiations with THC for the transfer of new facilities and services.

Effectiveness of these Controls

Both opportunities for, and demands on, HLH are increasing. At this strategic stage of development many of the opportunities require to be followed up if reputational damage is to be avoided.

New Actions Required	Who is Responsible?
Monitor the need for further review of the current levels of stress of staff	Head of Development

Risk No:	Risk Score:	Owned By:
HLH19	C2	SMT
1		

Non-achievement of income and expenditure targets and failure to control expenditure to achieve revised targets leading to inability to deliver service contract. Aggressive targets means a greater requirement for scrutiny.

Controls Already in Place

Monthly budget monitoring process
Scrutiny by Finance and Audit Committee
Finance reports to HLH Board

Effectiveness of these Controls

Effective in 2018/19 resulting in c. £100K positive variance to budget.

New Actions Required	Who is Responsible?
Continuation of a formal budget savings and targets review mechanism at monthly SMT	Chief Executive

Risk No:	Risk Score:	Owned By:
HLH07	C2	Board

Poor or ineffective working relationship between the Council and the HLH Board.

Controls Already in Place

There is a legal framework in place between HLH and the Council which includes a Service Delivery Contract, Property Agreement and Service Level Agreements (where HLH buys back services such as grounds maintenance from the Council). The purpose of the legal agreements are to ensure: that HLH delivers the services which are required of it by the Council; and that HLH can operate as a charity and can therefore deliver services at a lower cost than would be possible if the Council were to directly deliver those same services itself.

HLH reports twice yearly to the Council's Care, Learning and Housing Committee and the Council is formally asked to consider whether HLH has met the terms of the Service Delivery Contract when it considers the HLH update reports submitted to those meetings. The Committee has always agreed that HLH has met the terms of the Contract.

Effectiveness of these Controls

Given that the contracts between HLH and the Council are signed legal agreements and that when the six monthly HLH update reports have been considered by the Council it has agreed that the terms of the contract have been met, the controls listed above should be sufficient.

However, in setting its 2019/20 budget it became clear that Council officers and members have disregarded the funding agreement and viewed it as not being legally binding and have not approached HLH to propose a change. The current funding formula was a change from the original arrangement which transferred the risks of Scottish Government funding reductions and staff salary increases to HLH which the Council asked HLH to agree to and was signed in 2017.

New Actions Required	Who is
	Responsible?
It is recommended that a workshop for key Council and HLH personnel be facilitated by an expert in charity law to determine the status of the legal arrangements between the Council and HLH.	and the Council's

Risk No:	Risk Score:	Owned By:
HLH08	C2	SMT

Poor working relationship between HLH SMT and Council Service staff

Controls Already in Place

There is a fortnightly meeting between the HLH Chief Executive and the Council's Care and Learning Service Head of Resources (the Council's HLH client officer) and a standing invitation to the Council's client officer to attend the weekly HLH Heads of Service meeting.

Effectiveness of these Controls

The above controls should be sufficient with either of the above forums being appropriate for raising matters relating to budget savings targets or proposed changes to the funding formula.

New Actions Required	Who is
	Responsible?
Seek agreement with the Council that important matters, particularly those pertaining to: budget savings targets; and matters which might require contractual changes, be raised with HLH at the earliest opportunity by the Council's client officer.	and the Council's

Risk No:	Risk Score:	Owned By:
HLH31	C2	SMT

Significant changes in Council Senior Management.

Controls Already in Place

There is a fortnightly meeting between the HLH Chief Executive and the Council's Care and Learning Service Head of Resources (the Council's HLH client officer) and a standing invitation to the Council's client officer to attend the weekly HLH Heads of Service meeting.

The HLH Chief Executive was included in the induction programme for the Council's new Chief Executive where information on the services which HLH provides on the Council's behalf and the contractual arrangement/relationship that are in place to ensure that the Council's governance of the arrangement is appropriate and effective was provided.

There has been contact and discussion between the HLH Chief Executive and the Council's Acting Director of Care and Learning on various topics including HLH updates to the Care, Learning and Housing Committee.

Effectiveness of these Controls

While the relationship between HLH and the Council seems to have been understood in principle, it has become clear that in relation to budgets and budget setting that the Council wanted to be able to impose savings and influence how those savings are made without discussion with HLH.

New Actions Required	Who is
	Responsible?
As per risk HLH07 , it is recommended that a workshop for key Council and HLH personnel be facilitated by an expert in charity law to determine the status of the legal arrangements between the Council and HLH.	and the Council's

Risk No:	Risk Score:	Owned By:
HLH39	C2	Board

Change to formula for Service Delivery Fee from THC.

Controls Already in Place

There is a change control procedure contained within the Service Delivery Contract with the Council.

Effectiveness of these Controls

The Service Delivery Contract is a formal legal contract and this, should, therefore be an effective control for this risk.

New Actions Required	Who is
	Responsible?
New or additional control measures are difficult when the funding partner chooses to act as if the contract has not been signed and it is, therefore recommended, as per risk HLH07 , that a workshop for key Council and HLH personnel be facilitated by an expert in charity law to determine the status of the legal arrangements between the Council and HLH.	and the Council's

HLH54	C2	SMT
-------	----	-----

Service Delivery Contract being ignored by THC.

Controls Already in Place

NA – it would not have been reasonably foreseeable that the Council would ignore (particularly when reminded) signed legal documents which were based on expert legal advice which it had developed and agreed through its then Education Culture and Sport Committee and full Highland Council meetings in the run up to it establishing a wholly owned charity.

Effectiveness of these Controls

NA.

New Actions Required	Who is Responsible?
As per risk HLH07, it is recommended that a workshop for key Council and HLH personnel be facilitated by an expert in charity law to determine the status of the legal arrangements between the Council and HLH.	HLH Chief Executive and the Council's Director of Finance